

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	٤	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/762,557		01/23/2004	Klaus Mack	037141.53160US	3217
23911	7590	05/31/2005	·	EXAMINER	
CROWELL			PRINCE, FRED G		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300				1724	

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ω
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	m
	10/762,557	MACK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred Prince	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to becc	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	<i>r.</i> ommunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	ily 2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order o	epted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or all of all of all or all of all	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received s have been received ity documents have l ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application No Deen received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0704.	Pape	view Summary (PTO-413) or No(s)/Mail Date dee of Informal Patent Application (PTO) or:	≻152)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the outlet openings" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brieden et al. (DE 19716085).

Brieden et al. teach a fuel filter including a filter element including first and second end disks (8,9), a filter medium (6) extending between the end disks, an integral return line (12; Fig. 1) opening into an outlet opening (24), a first liquid connection (15), a second connection (16), first and second sealing areas (17,18).

3. Claims 1 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Straubel et al.

Art Unit: 1724

Straubel et al. teach a fuel filter including a filter element including first and second end disks (15,16), a filter medium (14) extending between the end disks, a return line (46) opening into an outlet opening (4), a first liquid connection (22), a second connection (25), first and second sealing areas (34).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brieden et al.

Brieden et al. is described above. Brieden et al. does not explicitly disclose an opening having the recited diameter.

It is submitted that it is well within the purview of the skilled artisan to size an outlet opening in order to, for example, facilitate passage of a preferred fluid over another (see, for example, US Pat No 2,942,732 to Edelen US Pat No 5,417,860 to Kay). Accordingly, it would have been readily obvious for the skilled artisan to have sized the opening of Brieden et al. in the recited diameter in order to, for example, facilitate passage of a preferred fluid over another, absent a proper showing of any new and unexpected result.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Fred Prince Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fgp 5/25/05